Demand for Governance in the Age of Al



Gregg Wishna, Will Shuman -- Protiviti Inc.

September 20, 2024

TAC

With You Today

Gregg Wishna Director





Will Shuman Director



Technology Consulting

- Director in Protiviti's Internal Audit and Financial Advisory practice and based out of Atlanta, GA.
- Earned a Master of Science as well as a BS in Decision and Information Sciences from the University of Florida.
- Certified as a CISA and has over 19 years consulting and audit experience with Protiviti; leading projects and working closely with Senior Management.
- Specializes in topics of Internal Audit innovation through advanced analytics and evaluating core Data Governance and Data Management functions.

- Director in Protiviti's Technology Consulting practice and based out of Atlanta, GA.
- Will is a leader within the Enterprise Data and Analytics team focusing primarily on Data Governance program establishment and supporting clients in ensuring data is used appropriately throughout their organization. Will also acts as a leader within the AI Governance space to support emerging technology and its impacts on data management.
- He serves as a board member on University of Georgia's MIS Advisory Board, a society board member for the Data Governance Society of TAG (Technology Associate of Georgia) and is a CISA (Certified Information Systems Auditor).

Overview of Today's Discussion





The AI Renaissance





"I knew I had just seen the most important advance in technology since the graphical user interface...

Businesses will distinguish themselves by how well they use it."

-Bill Gates on OpenAl



marketoonist.com

Key Definitions - Al

Specific definitions and distinctions do not have academic and scientific consensus. For practical application, the broad term "AI-ML" is used to describe systems that emulate human thought without explicit programming.





Key Definitions – Data Governance



Data Governance is the planning, oversight, and control over the management of data and the use of data-related resources¹. Data Governance controls cover the full Data Lifecycle, from the initial Creation of Data (C), to the Reading of Data (R), Updating of Data (U), and eventual Deletion (D) of Data. Every Data Governance program has a uniquely defined scope, but common themes include data protection, data retention, data quality, proper use, and the management of data as a business asset.

Organizations rely on frameworks like the Data Management Association's DMBOK to outline objectives for Data Governance programs, but the actual implementations of Data Governance are very dependent upon the challenges they face. In simpler terms – *there is no "one size fits all" answer to addressing data governance, though there are core foundational controls that are in most programs.*

Data Availability

Do I have the right data, available in the right format, to the right individuals, at the right time, in order to make required decisions?

Do I know enough about the data (e.g., sources, business definitions, technical constraints, proper usage) to ensure that I am using the 'right', or 'best', data for a given purpose?

Data Knowledge

Data Ownership

Are there appropriate controls – policies, procedures, architecture – in place to help manage data assets, and is there overall ownership and accountability to ensure these are operating as designed?

Do controls exist that help manage the day-to-day activities of storing and maintaining data within IT Systems, and are they well defined and well managed?

Data Management

Data Quality

Is the overall quality of data controlled, measured, and managed throughout its' lifecycle to ensure it is 'fit for purpose', or appropriate for given use cases? (e.g., can I trust the data?)



1. DAMA-DMBOK Guide

2023: The Year of Gen AI ... What's Next?



Executives are eager to capitalize on generative AI, with more than 6 in 10 planning to pilot or operate in some way by 2024.

	_ ,	

Global Spending on AI to Exceed \$301 Billion by 2026



McKinsey & Company

The economic potential of generative AI: The next productivity frontier

Across the 63 use cases we analyzed, generative AI has the potential to generate \$2.6 trillion to \$4.4 trillion in value across industries.



Gartner Poll Finds 45% of Executives Say ChatGPT Has Prompted an Increase in Al Investment

70% of Organizations Currently in Exploration Mode with Generative Al

Fig. 1: Primary Focus of Generative AI Investments (Percentage of Respondents)





The Next Industrial Revolution?

"It's always easier to imagine the jobs that exist today and might be destroyed than it is to imagine the jobs that don't exist today and might be created."

JED KOLKO

<u>Under Secretary for Economic Affairs</u> at the U.S. Department of Commerce



Figure 7.1. Adoption of automated teller machines did not reduce teller jobs. (Ruggles et al., Integrated Public Use Microdata Series: Version 5.0; Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment Survey, http://www.bls.gov/oes/; Bank for International Settlements, Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems, various publications [see p. 243, note 9]).



Al Use Cases

Predominant AI Application Opportunities









Decision Support

Customer Experience

Knowledge Management Process Efficiency & Automation



12

Tired of Creating Audit Notifications?

Open Microsoft Word, Enter Prompt, Generate



🥠 Draft with Copilot 🚽

Audit Notification.docx Fill out this template for a cybersecurity incident response audit. The auditee's name is Jeremy. The purpose of the audit is to evaluate the effectiveness of the auditee's cybersecurity incident response program. Objectives include evaluating policies, procedures, and plans to evaluate the design of the program, understanding updates that have been made in response to SEC cyber disclosure requirements, and evaluating a sample of incidents to verify they were handled appropriately. The scope of the audit includes the enterprise cybersecurity incident response program and incidents occurring in the last year. We will kick off the audit on March 25, 2024. Fieldwork will start then and continue for four weeks. We will then issue the report 2 weeks after fieldwork concludes. Please expand upon the information I've given to effectively demonstrate the scope of the audit.

902/2000

Generate 🖉 🖉 Reference a file



Dear Jeremy,

As part of the internal audit plan, we are conducting a cybersecurity incident response audit with the purpose of evaluating the effectiveness of your cybersecurity incident response program. This audit is designed to assess the adequacy and compliance of your policies, procedures, and plans to respond to cyber incidents, understand the updates that you have made in response to the SEC cyber disclosure requirements, and verify that a sample of incidents that occurred in the last year were handled appropriately and in accordance with best practices.

In this email, we would like to share with you some preliminary information about the objectives, scope, and timeline of the audit. Please note that these are subject to change as the audit progresses and new information emerges.

Preliminary Objectives

- Evaluate the design and implementation of the cybersecurity incident response program, including roles and responsibilities, governance, reporting, escalation, and communication.
- Assess the alignment of the cybersecurity incident response program with the SEC cyber disclosure requirements and the NIST Cybersecurity Framework.
- Review a sample of cyber incidents that occurred in the last year and evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of the incident response process, including identification, containment, eradication, recovery, and lessons learned.
- Identify any gaps, weaknesses, or areas for improvement in the cybersecurity incident response
 program and provide recommendations to enhance the program.

Preliminary Scope

- The enterprise cybersecurity incident response program, including policies, procedures, and plans.
- A sample of cyber incidents that occurred in the last year, covering different types, sources, and impacts of incidents.
- The documentation and evidence related to the incident response process, such as incident reports, logs, emails, action plans, and remediation steps.

Preliminary Timeline

- Kickoff: March 25, 2024
- Fieldwork: March 25, 2024 April 22, 2024
- Report issued: May 6, 2024

The next step is to schedule a kickoff meeting with you and your team to discuss the audit process, expectations, and logistics. We will send you a meeting invite shortly.

We look forward to working with you on this audit and appreciate your cooperation and support. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact us at <sender email> or <sender phone>.

Sincerely,



Leverage what's at your fingertips!

Future Ready Internal Audit – Are You AI Enabled?

Identifies key process risks

Control Matrix (RCM) in table format that identifies and lists out the control desc control should be in a unique row and the 5 column names should be in the orde Control Description, Testing Steps, & Evidence Needed. See below for which detai Control: brief name for the control. Key Risk: the key risk related to SAP access the mitigate. Control Description: a more detailed description of the control. Testing S operating effectiveness of the control. Please list these out in numbered format w Needed: A list of evidence items needed to perform each set of test steps. Please within each table cell.

В р	ased on the information from provisioning:	n the meeting transcript, here	's a Risk & Control Matrix (RCM	1) for SAP access
1	Key Risk	Mitigating Control	Control Description	Testing Steps
	Unauthorized user creation	Approval Process for User Creation	Requests for new user creation must be approved by IT Management before provisioning.	1. Review a sample of nev user requests. 2. Veril approvals are present and come from IT Managemen 3. Confirm that no u was created without prop approval.



Protiviti Internal Audit AI Use Cases

Protiviti's AI roadmap was established to build the foundational for advancement by digitizing documentation, auto generate deliverables, and AI enabled reporting.

Use Case Name	Brief Description	Loading
Al Enabled Walkthroughs	Standardize delivery of RCM, process narratives, and process flow document from a walkthrough recording.	Completed on 7/10 New Add process narrative to process flow functionality
Al Enabled Audit Reports	Dynamically create audit reports with planning documentation and observations logs.	
Al Enabled Issues Management	Digest issue data and use AI to identify redundancies, deep insights, metrics for quarterly reporting.	
Al Enabled SOC Report Analysis	Dynamically identify key elements from SOC reports and automatically include them in an assessment template.	
AI Enabled ESG GHG Calculations	Dynamically digitize energy and utility consumption invoices into a structured format to auto calculate green house gas emissions.	
AI Unstructured Digitization	Submit any document(s) and receive a digitized and structured output in excel. Picture extracting key details from any invoice, contracts, and more.	



AI/ML Use Cases in Internal Audit





Al Risks

Examples of What Can Go Wrong



Mashable Voices Tech Science Life Social Good Entertainment Deals

Tech Artificial Intelligence

Whoops, Samsung workers accidentally leaked trade secrets via ChatGPT

ChatGPT doesn't keep secrets.



World V Business V Markets V Sustainability V Legal V More V

Disrupted

New York lawyers sanctioned for using fake ChatGPT cases in legal brief

EUSINESS Markets Tech Media Success Perspectives Videos

Google shares lose \$100 billion after company's AI chatbot makes an error during demo

mate Video	Home World U	News S & Canada	Sport	Reel Worklif
mate Video bann oncei	world U	s & Canada n Ita	UK Business	Tech Science
bann	world U	s & Canada n Ita	UK Business	Tech Science
bann oncei	ied i	n Ita	aly ov	er
bann oncei	ied i	n Ita	aly ov	er
windows	s Central			US Edition 📰 🔹 🥞
Windows	s 11 Lap	tops Surf	ace Reviews	PC Gaming & Xbox
	₩indows Windows	₩indows Central Windows 11 Lap	₩indows Central Windows 11 Laptops Surf	₩indows Central Windows 11 Laptops Surface Reviews

By Sean Endicott last updated 23 days ago

Don't take Bard's word when the chatbot says it's already been shut down.



Why is AI Being Regulated?

New risks and threats for individuals' fundamental rights and freedoms

Need to build trust to keep the momentum in use and adoption of Al

Disruptive changes on business, economy, social life, environment, science and research

Ability to enable manipulation and advanced surveillance

Self-learning and self-evolving nature following the launch

Al-specific Frameworks and Regulations i.e., EU Al Act, NIST Framework

Sectorial Regulations aligned to Al i.e., UK Consumer Duty

Regulations on Data and Digital Infrastructure

i.e., DORA (Digital Operational Resilience Act), GDPR





Recognized Emerging Standards for AI Governance

NIST AI Risk Management Framework is designed to equip organizations and individuals

with approaches that increase the trustworthiness of AI systems, and to help foster the responsible design, development, deployment, and use of AI systems over time.

ISO/IEC AI Framework

provides guidance on managing risk associated with the development and use of AI. The document offers strategic guidance to organizations to assist in integrating risk management into significant activities and functions.





European Union Al Act focuses primarily on strengthening rules around data quality, transparency, human oversight and accountability. It also aims to address ethical questions and implementation challenges.



Generative AI Risk Areas

01	Data Privacy / Confidentiality / Security – Data entered into an LLM such as sensitive information or trade secrets may be utilized for training and could potentially be inappropriately stored, shared or disclosed.
02	Reliability and Accuracy – LLMs may experience "hallucinations" or provide inaccurate or outdated information due to limitations in how it was trained
03	Lack of Professional Judgement – Relying on LLMs for audit conclusions may limit the use of professional judgement, which is critical in audit
04	• Adversarial Attacks / Malicious Use – LLMs may be the target of attacks resulting in inaccurate information, used to generate misinformation, or used in other cybersecurity attacks (e.g., phishing)
05	Bias – The LLM may reflect biases in training data, which could lead to biased results when utilizing the LLM
06	• Overreliance / Impact to Skills – Overreliance on LLMs may lead to a lack of human oversight and introduction of errors as well as degradation of critical thinking and problem-solving skills amongst users
07	Non-compliance – LLMs may not be familiar with the latest compliance requirements, or their usage may be restricted, resulting in non-compliance with laws and regulations



AI Observations Across Organizations

	Observation	Impact	Recommendations
	Lack of standardized Al definitions	 Misalignment of AI projects with business objectives Over characterization of AI use cases 	 Create an AI governance steering committee with cross-functional representation Conduct workshops to define AI for the organization
°_Q	Tension between innovation and risk	Conflicting priorities among departments leading to missed opportunities for competitive advantage	 Develop an AI strategy document that align with business and technology strategies Develop Key Performance Indicators (KPI) and Key Risk Indicators (KRI) to monitor the design and implementation lifecycle of AI applications
Ē	Non-alignment with traditional risk frameworks (i.e., Model Risk Management v NIST Al)	 Ineffective management of risks associated with AI applications Bifurcation of model & AI policies 	 Update risk management policies to include AI-specific risks Conduct regular model validation and bias assessments Create unified or mapped MRM & AI Risk Framework
	Uncertainty on aligning Al practices globally or locally	 Inconsistent AI practices and difficulty complying across different regions Unequal treatment of acquisitions 	 Develop global AI policy and standards to align with ethical principles and legal requirements Allow regional teams to customize policy and standards based on local regulations
	Shadow AI currently being used	Deployment of noncompliant AI applications that are biased or unfit for use	 Create intake and approval process for all AI initiatives Develop training to help employees understand AI technologies, associated implications, and best practices
<u></u>	Lack of centralized tracking for Al use cases	 Challenges governing and managing applications Unable to report to regulators or third parties 	 Deploy a structured tool to inventory AI applications and use cases Mandate intake and approval process for all AI initiatives (including initiatives with third parties)
	Conflating perceived high risk with actual legal risk	Increased administrative burden and reduced efficiencies	 Establish AI risk tolerance with risk considerations attributed to all phases of the AI lifecycle Develop and leverage risk control matrix to differentiate between perceived and actual risks
	Explainability	Opaque black box decision making challenge regulators, consumers and companies in meeting explainability and transparency obligations	Develop Process and outcome-based rationales for input and output variables, to better understand roles of components and impact on decisioning to enable explanations for various parties



Main Categories of Bias







Hallucinations in Generative Al

Hallucination

Instances where Generative AI systems or models generate inaccurate or nonsensical outputs.

Causes

- Training Data Sources: Generative AI models are trained on vast amounts of data containing both accurate and inaccurate content, as well as societal
 and cultural biases. Since AI models mimic patterns in their training data without discerning truth, they can reproduce any falsehoods or biases present
 in the data set.
- Limitations of Generative Models: Generative AI models are designed to predict the next word or sequence based on observed patterns to generate plausible content.
- Inherent Challenges in Al Design: The technology behind generative Al tools isn't designed to differentiate between what's true and what's not true.
 Even if generative Al models were trained solely on accurate data, their generative nature would mean they could still produce new, potentially inaccurate content by combining patterns in unexpected ways.

Mitigation

- Use High-Quality Training Data: Train on diverse, balanced and well-structured data
- Define Purpose: Spell out how you will use the AI model, as well as any limitations on the use of the model
- Use Data Templates: Templates provide teams a predefined format, increasing the likelihood that an AI model will generate outputs that align with prescribed guideline
- Limit Responses: Define boundaries that limit possible outcomes
- Test and Refine: Evaluate on an ongoing basis to adjust or retrain the model
- Human Oversight: Make sure a human being is validating and reviewing AI outputs is a final backstop measure



Key Considerations for Internal Auditors

Key Distinctions that Matter!







How it's Trained

- Supervised
- Unsupervised
- Reinforcement

What Data it's Trained On

- Public Domain
- Subscription Data Feeds
- Enterprise-Owned
- Industry-Specific

- How it's <u>Arc</u>hitected
- Public Cloud
- Private Cloud
- On Premises



Identifying Value & Evaluating Readiness of AI

Value Identification	Data	Skills	Ecosystem	Experimentation	Change Management
 Where should we apply AI? Key Focus: Do we have a strong understanding of the strengths of AI? What areas of the business offer the greatest potential? What job roles & How many? Cost Basis? What levers of value will we pull? (productivity improvement, etc)? How will we measure and how fast could we deliver results? 	 Do we have the data and is it ready? Key Focus: What data will be needed? Where is it located? Is it organized for usage and scalable? What governance/security procedures do we have in place? 	 Do we have the talent we need to unlock the value? Key Focus: What AI skills do we have in our organization? Where are they and how many? Is there alignment between our skills and our identified value hypothesis? What is our training/dev plan? 	 Where to build/buy/partner? Key Focus: How closely does our value hypothesis align to existing or emerging solutions? Are we actively engaged with the Al ecosystem? Big & small? Do we have a framework on how to evaluate build, buy or partner decisions? 	 Do we have a capacity to test & learn quickly? Key Focus: Do we have a technology environment available? Do we have a Rapid Prototype team/approach? Are we leveraging best practices in Design Thinking / Agile / Lean / Innovation? 	 Do we have an organized plan to execute? Key Focus: What is the Change Readiness of our Org? What is most important to achieve buy in? How do we communicate our plan? How will we collect, evaluate, and act on feedback?





Internal Audit Considerations

- Explore participation in Governance steering committees or working groups to drive awareness for audit purposes, provide risk and control guidance, and assess the impact of AI implementation on audit plans and the broader audit universe
- Engage in advisory and consultancy roles early and often to evaluate the design of AI program implementation, helping to avoid gaps and limit risk exposure
- ✓ Understand the risk assessment process and consider the business's use of AI when planning future audit assessments



Closing Considerations

As LLMs continue to gain prevalence and demonstrate utility across various industries and business functions, it's crucial for Internal Audit to leverage these advanced technologies benefits and stay progressive.

Familiarize Yourself with LLMs

he Institute of ternal Auditors

Invest time in learning the capabilities, risks, and best practices associated with LLMs to understand their impact on both the Internal Audit function as well as the business.

Identify Internal Audit LLM Opportunities

Explore opportunities to safely and effectively incorporate LLMs into the audit process, such as enhancing risk assessments, supporting audit execution, and streamlining reporting.

Partner with Stakeholders on LLM Risks and Controls

Work closely with stakeholders to help them understand the risks associated with LLM usage and provide guidance on implementing appropriate controls to mitigate those risks and drive compliance with relevant regulations and organizational standards.











Gregg Wishna Director at Protiviti | Expert in IT Internal Audit, Analytics, and Data Governance | Published Thoug...







THANK YOU!